Chapter Name: Environmental Quality

Chapter Number: 24

Appendix I24

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)	
24.2 Contaminated Land and Land Instability		
24.2	<u>Objection</u>	
	Allocation east of Welwyn Garden City does not take account of former landfill	
Policy EQ1 Contaminated Land and Land Instability		
21.2	<u>Support</u>	
	Policy is supported but could be strengthened with addition to competent persons	
	<u>Objection</u>	
	Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy	
Policy EQ2 Noise Pollution		
EQ2	<u>Support</u>	
	Support for requirement to produce a detailed noise assessment	
	<u>Objection</u>	
	Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy	
	2. Noise pollution from road traffic is not just attributable to growth at Stansted Airport -	
	amendment requested to clarify this	
EQ2	<u>Support</u>	
Part III	Support for the criterion in principle	
	Other Comments and Observations	
	1. An amendment may be required to ensure that noise sensitive development will not prejudice	
	existing operations	

Chapter Name: Environmental Quality

Chapter Number: 24

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)	
Policy EQ3 Light Pollution		
EQ3	Support 1. Policy is supported 2. Recognition that ecology is an important consideration of limiting light pollution is supported Objection 1. Adds no additional value to the NPPF policy 2. Unclear what the policy is trying to achieve Other Comments and Observations 1. Reference to other benefits of reducing light pollution could be added to the supporting text	
Policy EQ4 Air Quality		
EQ4	Support 1. Inclusion of Air Quality Guidance is supported – provides a clear approach, though more cross-referencing to the Air Quality Guidance may be useful Objection	
	Objection 1. Policy should enable permission to be refused if a development worsens air quality 2. Policy will not be effective due to quantum of development, regardless of mitigation	